(1)

04

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 5600 /2005

PETITIONER

Smt Charulata Chauhan @ Ku. Charulata Chandel, W/o.K.B. Singh Chauhan, Aged about 28 years, R/o.Village Permaras, Post.Tongpal, Tehsil Konta, District Dantewada, South Bastar (C.G.)

P.R. No. 5796/05
Presented by Sandeep Yadav
dated 28/10/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Panchayat & Social Welfare Department, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
28/10/05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. The Deputy Director, Panchayat & Social Welfare Department, District Dantewada, South Bastar (C.G.)

Amendment incorporated in compliance of C.O. Dated 7-12-05
12-12-05

4. Gram Panchayat Permaras Through Sarpanch, Post - Tongpal, Tehsil - Konta, District - Dantewada, South Bastar (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1. PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 5600/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	19-12-2005 Shri Gautam Khetrapal, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sandeep Dubey, Govt. Adv. for the State. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent no. 2/ Dy. Director has passed the order dated 5-10-2005 Annexure P-1 on the ground that resolution has been passed by the Gram Sabha against the petitioner. Counsel submits in the resolution, petitioner's name is not mentioned yet the Dy. Director has passed the order terminating the services of the petitioner. Counsel for the State submits that against the order passed by the Dy. Director, remedy to prefer appeal before the Sub Divisional Officer is available to the petitioner under Rule 15 of the Panchayat Service (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1999. Counsel for the petitioner submits that since the objection is raised, the petitioner may be given liberty to prefer an appeal along with an application for stay before the Sub Divisional Officer, which may be directed to be decided in accordance with law as early as possible. Having thus considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to prefer an appeal along with an application for stay before the Sub Divisional Officer concerned within a period of 10 days and on such appeal	

[पीछे देखिये

HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

60

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	being preferred, the same shall be considered and decided in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of filing the same. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the parties may avail the remedy available under the law including filing of the petition. Subject to the above direction and observation, the petition is disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P. No. 4459/2005 and I.A. No. 9469/2005 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	

[पीछे देखिये